

**In some countries people pay different tax depending on their salary, in other countries everyone pays same rate. Which do you believe is the best system?**

Taxes are one of the most important sources of money of a nation. Thanks to them, schools and hospitals are built, roads are lengthened and widened; therefore, the standard of living are raised better. But taxes themselves are come from the people' income, some of whom are incredibly rich, the others may be very poor. And that is and reason for me to believe that people should pay different rates of tax depending on their own salary.

Firstly, it is not fair if a same rate of tax is applied for everybody. That policy would definitely exacerbate the substance distribution. While some billionaires or millionaires would have a large amount of redundant money which is useless, groups of very poor people wouldn't afford basic demands for their everyday life. If this situation lasted for a long time, the nation would absolutely not avoid the chaos.

Another reason for my point of view is if people pay different tax rates according to their income, the government will be given more money which goes with more benefits. Imagine that amount of money would bring back more schools with better facilities, more hospital which help poor people be treated without paying money. The quality of population would increase day by day, and this would lead to better salary and then the steady development of the entire county.

Some people said different tax rates for each people could discourage their motivation to a more wealthy life, since after excluding tax from salary, they still had enough money to be able to live. I cannot agree with it. If what they think had happened, why our world gains its great success nowadays?

To sum up, I totally allege that distributing tax rates according to each person's salary is a right policy for every-government. That is the key to maintain the equality and stability of society.

## Revised

Taxes are one of the most important sources of money of a nation. Thanks to them, schools and hospitals are built, and roads are lengthened and widened; thereby raising the standard of living. ~~are raised better.~~ But taxes themselves ~~are~~ come from the people's income, some of whom are incredibly rich, ~~the while~~ others may be very poor. ~~And that is and~~ For this reason, ~~I for me~~ ~~to~~ believe that people should pay different rates of tax depending on their own salary.

Firstly, it is not fair if ~~a the~~ same rate of tax is applied for everybody. That policy would definitely exacerbate the substance distribution[?]. While some billionaires or millionaires would have a large amount of redundant money, which is useless, groups of very poor people wouldn't be able to afford basic ~~demands necessities~~ for their everyday life. If this situation lasted for a long time, the nation would absolutely not avoid ~~the~~ chaos.

Another reason for my point of view is that if people pay different tax rates according to their income, the government will be given more money which goes with more benefits. Imagine how that amount of money would bring back more schools with better facilities, as well as more hospitals, which help poor people be treated without paying money. The quality of population would increase day by day, and this would lead to better ~~salary salaries~~ and then the steady development of the entire county.

Some people ~~said say~~ different tax rates for ~~each~~ people could discourage their motivation to enjoy a more wealthy life, since after excluding tax from salary, they still had enough money to be able to live. I cannot agree with it. If what they think had happened, why would our world ~~gains~~ its great success nowadays?

To sum up, I totally ~~allege agree~~ that distributing tax rates according to each person's salary is ~~a the~~ right policy for every-government. That is the key to maintaining the equality and stability of society.

Another good long essay. Over 300 words. Try for a little less direct translation. But organization, content and vocabulary were good.